

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
1 P.O. Box: 1450
Alexandria: Virginia 22313-1450
www.usrote.org

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,006		11/14/2003	Gary S. Henneberry	1200213R	1954
35227	7590	11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
POLYONE			DANIELS, M	DANIELS, MATTHEW J	
33587 WAL AVON LAK		- <u>-</u>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1732	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Author Commence	10/714,006	HENNEBERRY, GARY S.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew J. Daniels	1732					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No.	ovember 2003.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
.—	•						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9 is/are withdrawn to	4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	•						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ˙						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	ammer. Note the attached Office	7.01.017 01 101111 1 1 0 1 0 2.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n have been received						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/8/04, 4/13/04</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/714,006 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method, classified in class 264, subclass 540.
 - II. Claims 6-9, drawn to an article, classified in class 220, subclass 560.03.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the article could be made by another and materially different process, such as rotational molding.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter, and because the search for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Hornickel on 5 October 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-5. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 6-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/714,006

Art Unit: 1732

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato (USPN 3372429). As to Claim 1, Kato teaches a method of making a double-walled poly(vinyl chloride) containing article (1:55-60), comprising the steps of:
- a) melting a composition containing poly(vinyl chloride) (3:68-75);
- b) continuously extruding the composition in the form of a parison, wherein the composition is made from a formulation (1:55-60 and 3:68-75);
- c) blow molding the parison into a desired shape (Figs. 5-7e).

3

Kato is silent to b) 40 cm parison and at least 40 second parison formation time. However, these steps would have been prima facie obvious over Kato's method because Kato teaches that extruding speed (4:8), extruded amount (4:8), and desired length (4:14) all represent result effective variables which can be optimized to provide a desired tube. See MPEP 2144.05 II and *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Kato also teaches changing size

Application/Control Number: 10/714,006

Art Unit: 1732

(5:23-30). As to Claim 4, cooling a blow molded article and removal are inherent in Kato's process (4:40-49). The Examiner submits that forming the parison continuously at the same rate as the article is molded, cooled, and removed, would have been inherent or prima facie obvious over Kato's method because it would have been prima facie obvious to perform the process as rapidly as possible. As to Claim 5, Kato teaches a toy (6:25), among other intended uses. However, the Examiner submits that these limitations do not materially affect the claimed process because they pertain only to the desired shape, which does not materially affect the claimed method. The method of Kato could be used to make all articles recited in Claim 5.

7. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato (USPN 3372429) in view of Fahey (USPN 5077331). Kato teaches the subject matter of Claim 1 above under 35 USC 103(a). Kato is silent to processing aids and the other additives recited in Claims 2 and 3. However, they would have been prima facie obvious over Fahey for the following reasons:

As to Claim 2, Fahey teaches that processing aids are added to increase the melt strength during processing and molding operations and to reduce the melt viscosity and elasticity of the molding compounds (4:46-55). Fahey further teaches common processing aids (4:50) and that the amount of processing aid added is generally in the range of 2 to 10%. In order to "reduce the melt viscosity...of the molding composition" (4:48-50), Fahey teaches that the processing aids inherently had a higher viscosity in order to raise the viscosity of the molding composition when added to the molding composition. Fahey's process aids are specifically directed to poly(vinyl chloride) (Abstract, line 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/714,006 Page 5

Art Unit: 1732

As to Claim 3, Fahey also teaches at least flame retardants (4:17)

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Fahey into that of Kato in order to a) avoid the tendency for the material to turn yellow due to thermo-mechanical stress induced by processing 2:63-3:9 and 2:25-40), b) maintain its high clarity (2:63-3:9 and 2:25-40), or c) produce an economoci advantage in that less work need be expended at a given set of processing conditions (1:9-14).

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato (USPN 3372429) in view of Irwin ("Blow Molding" in Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering, 2nd edition, 1985, pages 447-478). Kato teaches the subject matter of Claim 1 above under 35 USC 103(a). As to Claim 4, cooling a blow molded article and removal are inherent in Kato's process (4:40-49). Irwin teaches processes in which the parison continuously forms at the same rate as the article is molded, cooled, and removed (Figs. 5, 8, or 9, and specifically Page 450, "Continuous Extrusion"). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Irwin into that of Kato a) in order to increase the number of articles molded per unit time, and b) because the continuous extrusion process is best for poly(vinyl chloride) resins (Page 453, below Fig. 8) in order to reduce the occurrence of hot spots which damage material..

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/714,006 Page 6

Art Unit: 1732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Daniels whose telephone number is (571) 272-2450. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJD 10/23/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER